



Sea Level Rise Response Planning

Sea Level Rise Community Feedback Survey Results

- Q1 [Social Consequences](#)
- Q2 [Environmental Consequences](#)
- Q3 [Economic Consequences](#)
- Q4 [Other Consequences](#)
- Q5 [Financial Criteria](#)
- Q6 [Environmental Criteria](#)
- Q7 [Administrative Criteria](#)
- Q8 [Socio-Economic Criteria](#)
- Q9 [Technical Effectiveness Criteria](#)
- Q11 [Other Evaluation Criteria](#)

Q4 Are there any other consequences that should be considered?

Answered: 88 Skipped: 290

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Any actions that hinder the Marine Terminal and access to the supply chain of emergency supplies to the community.	2/19/2018 8:02 PM
2	Yes. The current impact of corporate real estate interests leveraging the resiliency issue to displace the marine terminal and gentrify the Port should be considered. The Port is an asset for workers in the community, and is a part of the statewide maritime economy, and thus has regional and statewide impacts.	2/17/2018 9:05 AM
3	ther mite be som smaler beeches so i woodnt get to play at them bye my name is jessica i am 6	2/12/2018 8:08 PM
4	We need to retain the Capitol Lake tide lock as it is the only flood control measure we currently have. We also need to purchase and remove the Capitol Center Building and build the long-planned extension of the North Capitol Campus Heritage Park.	2/4/2018 9:26 PM
5	Assume that all impacts are temporary. That is not clear at all.	2/4/2018 4:36 PM
6	Estimated SLR may be low if sudden calving of ice sheet covering Antarctica occurs	1/31/2018 4:39 PM
7	Why are we permitting and allowing new building/housing to go directly into the known flood zones downtown? The designs of these new projects are completely inadequate to the coming floods. I've been to the Design Review Board meetings....it's a joke. Heads in sand, firmly. Why isn't the Missing Middle and other City permitting focusing on Martin Way/Pacific and Capitol Mall zones, rather than downtown....WHERE IT'S ABOUT TO FLOOD??? Get rid of the Marine Terminal. Dirty, unsightly, corrupt, bankrupting our tax dollars with their constant bailout, few viable jobs, WE WANT OUR WATERFRONT BACK!!!! Make it a raised park which will withstand the flooding and help keep some of the sea level rise out of downtown. Bring in communal internet, install raised solar panels....ANYTHING but the Port Marine Terminal.	1/31/2018 7:04 AM
8	Get rid of Marine Terminal....we want our Waterfront back, and they use and abuse our tax dollars, as well as our trust and confidence. The admitted permitting of new construction projects like the Westman Mill/Walker John project right on the waterfront, as well as other downtown MAJOR buildings which will be flooded, is insane. Why are you putting buildings and citizen housing into a known future flood zone??? Why isn't the major Missing Middle and other permitting and focus by the City going into the areas of Martin Way/Pacific and Capitol Mall areas, instead of downtown? How could we so firmly be putting our heads in the sand on this??	1/31/2018 6:55 AM
9	I live on a sailboat at Swantown Marina. What happens to all the boats if the sea level goes over the piling?	1/30/2018 12:18 PM
10	Cost of emergency response compared with cost of prevention by relocating essential services, and berming around LOTT	1/29/2018 8:34 PM
11	Stop building where future public funds will be needed to protect structures	1/28/2018 10:41 AM
12	Increased salinity of near surface groundwater?	1/26/2018 1:16 PM
13	Could flooding cause any inundation into the drinking water system thereby disrupting access to potable water?	1/26/2018 1:13 PM
14	note that many services are portable and business can move to higher areas over time. Consequence of costs to the community for protection should be included since money we spend on protection will not be available for other important things such as emergency services, social services etc. The cost to protect should be part of this discussion early on, not just the 'loss' if we do not protect.	1/26/2018 8:50 AM
15	Release of contaminants currently in Capitol Lake. Negative impacts to fish runs and habitat.	1/24/2018 11:14 AM
16	Current and future development in areas that will flood in the next 100 years using up to date flooding data and predictions within the past 6 months of any permitting process.	1/18/2018 6:24 PM

Sea Level Rise Community Feedback Survey

Q11 Should any other evaluation criteria be considered?

Answered: 128 Skipped: 250

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The preservation of the Marine Terminal as industrial land and as a center to provide logistics and services including disaster relief and supplies to the community.	2/19/2018 8:06 PM
2	Stop building in flood prone areas. Focus on value for what you get with tax payer funds	2/18/2018 8:40 AM
3	Please proactively engage workers at the Port of Olympia's terminal operators, and those impacted in the supply chain before making policy decisions.	2/17/2018 9:06 AM
4	City should work with NOAA on the installation of a recording Tide Gage so the actual tide elevations can be monitored.	2/13/2018 9:35 AM
5	i want toys to play with :0	2/12/2018 8:10 PM
6	weighing the overall effects of things that we bring in to the ports and the community as a whole, how the city's actions might be aiding climate change and sea level rise	2/9/2018 4:42 PM
7	shut down Tumwater historical park and let the water ebb and flow with the tide like it use to.	2/6/2018 1:15 PM
8	We need to retain the Capitol Lake tide lock as it is the only flood control measure we currently have. We also need to purchase and remove the Capitol Center Building and build the long-planned extension of the North Capitol Campus Heritage Park.	2/4/2018 9:27 PM
9	Storm and Sewer outfall protection should be the highest priority to protect. (That will be the first to loose)	2/4/2018 5:36 PM
10	This issue is too important for a survey of this low quality. All of the initial maps are way too confusing. Unfortunately a high bias on the part of City Council to over emphasize the impacts of to depress economic development and put emphasis on cleaning up the downtown core.	2/4/2018 4:48 PM
11	We should protect our wonderful city.	2/4/2018 12:07 PM
12	consistent updating of sea level rise probabilities	2/4/2018 12:05 PM
13	What costs are born by non-profits, homeowners, businesses & those born by gov't.	2/4/2018 10:42 AM
14	Sea level rise is predicted. Where possible long range plans should focus on avoid preserving or infrastructure development in areas subject to inundation. I recommend planning now for rebuilding vital infrastructure away from flood prone areas in a cost effective manner and understanding that the community can't all save current development and infrastructure.	2/2/2018 5:34 PM
15	Preserving the east-west Olympia traffic route	2/2/2018 10:57 AM
16	City should consider retreat and rezoning uphill areas	1/31/2018 4:44 PM
17	This is a relatively meaningless survey---OF COURSE all these things are important. Who would say otherwise? Hopefully you read the comments and digest them into the planning, but this is probably just a way to make the public 'feel' like they're getting heard....	1/31/2018 7:08 AM
18	Should be phased in consistent with actual sea water rising stages. Plan for the worst, but build in stages to accommodate new technology and building transitions.	1/30/2018 5:18 PM
19	What would be best is if City government did not conjure up boondoggles to pay for pensions of employees that have long since retired. We'd also love it if you didn't hire diminutive women to be police officers that shoot black kids for stealing a six pack of beer citing her reasons for shooting them "they had a skateboard". What most downtown residents and business owners (and I am both) would appreciate is if you got all the vagrants off our streets. I'm not saying don't provide a safety net for those in need but please, get it the hell away from downtown. You keep sucking and sucking off of us that are trying to make this a vibrant downtown, while hosting those who would kill any chance of that ever happening. It isn't cool to have your city's streets littered with drunks, drug addicts and schizophrenics, most of whom have violent tendencies. No, please, don't shoot them! Just find them a different place to go so that our little town which I've lived in these past 60 years can be a nice place to be. Thank you for listening.	1/30/2018 5:18 PM

Sea Level Rise Community Feedback Survey

20	The Capitol Center Building needs to be purchased and removed by the State and City through eminent domain. The building was built in the wrong location in the view corridor of the State Capitol Campus. The area is subject to flooding and sea level rise and has been planned for the extension of the North Capitol Campus Heritage Park. The historic Capitol Lake tide lock needs to be retained as it has prevented flooding in the North Capitol Campus and downtown. The tide lock will be even more important to prevent flooding with sea level rise.	1/30/2018 10:38 AM
21	68" is the incorrect upper threshold. NOAA has presented and the national insurance RIMS conference that we should expect three meters (10 feet) of sea level rise by 2050-2060. All projects should be designed with this criteria forefront. See Insurance Journal article at: https://www.insurancejournal.com/news/national/2016/04/12/405089.htm	1/29/2018 8:39 PM
22	Require all new construction in future inundation zones to be build high and flood-resistant	1/28/2018 10:44 AM
23	If the City is not going to retreat in the wake of sea level rise, the city should also consider the physical strategy of turning city blocks into channels/locks that can allow the sea to flow into the city and incorporate the benefit of tourism or other potential business ventures. Kind of like creating a mini Italy.	1/26/2018 1:20 PM
24	The trade off of costs should be examined. How much will it cost to move services and other assets out of harms way in areas that are expensive to protect? Can some areas be left to be flooded and spend the money moving the assets instead of assuming all assets must be protected.	1/26/2018 8:53 AM
25	Exclusion of public funds for use on structures that have been built ignoring the risks of sea level rise impacts and costs-- such building or renovating structures on the flood prone isthmus. That would be folly and I don't want taxpayer funds used to bail out the structure when flooded!	1/25/2018 4:52 PM
26	I think areas that can be used for flood storage should be mapped and evaluated. Capital Lake for instance or onsite detention ponds.	1/25/2018 10:08 AM
27	estimate cost of recovery: degradation of infrastructure due to salt water intrusions.	1/24/2018 4:42 PM
28	It is responsible for the City of Olympia to protect our essential assets from sea rise and 100-year floods; however, it is more important for the city to address the homeless drug addicts that actually are creating a public health hazard every day with their feces and used heroin needles all over downtown Olympia. Fix the homeless issue now, then solve future problems.	1/24/2018 2:35 PM
29	Risks if a strategy fails (e.g., breach in a levee or dike). Use of natural environment (e.g., restoration of floodplains; restoration of streams like Moxlie Creek).	1/24/2018 11:18 AM
30	What about abandoning part of downtown that are most susceptible to the rise in sea level? If it is too costly to fight it. It is a long-term trend, likely to only get worse and more costly. Maybe part of downtown are deconstructed and returned to the sea?	1/23/2018 12:06 PM
31	Construction/existing structures in the flood/sea level-rise zone should retreat or stop. The only reliable strategy against sea level rise is retreat.	1/21/2018 7:12 PM
32	Encourage development in areas of downtown less at risk for flooding. Put measures in place so that new development funds the protection of itself from sea level rise. As a tax payer, I'm alright with funding efforts to save the old buildings of downtown that give it character and life. I'm very averse to my tax dollars going toward saving new buildings constructed by wealthy investors. They should be developing with this situation in mind and contributing to saving the older structures. So I guess my criteria is to de-prioritize the use of tax payer money to save buildings constructed well after global warming was a known issue—certainly anything built after 2000 but I'd like to say as far back as the 90's. We shouldn't have to pay for poor planning of the wealthy.	1/20/2018 9:51 AM
33	Can the work needed be done by local vendors? Can we get creative about utilizing green-building techniques and/or incorporating aesthetically pleasing elements? Can we involve community volunteers?	1/19/2018 9:06 AM
34	Think about moritorium on building and consider removal and conversion to parks rather than trying to save buildings which may eventually be lost anyway	1/19/2018 9:06 AM
35	Why you allowing prioritizing development projects in sensitive wildlife habitat and flooding without improving waterways and the estuary. Stop developing in property where we need to return wildlife spaces..	1/18/2018 6:31 PM
36	It should be assured that adaptation strategies are equitable across the entire population of Olympia. Are vulnerable populations receiving the same level of service for climate adaptation and risk management as other populations. The elderly, areas with large population of children, minorities, low income/those in poverty, etc.	1/18/2018 3:13 PM

Sea Level Rise Community Feedback Survey

37	These are all engineered solutions. Please read John McPhee's incredible book "The Control of Nature." Find out how this approach is doomed to failure.	1/18/2018 2:22 PM
38	Inclusion of evacuation and long-term preparations for eventual inevitable loss of downtown area.	1/18/2018 9:37 AM
39	Cost of moving critical infrastructure to less impacted topography	1/17/2018 12:03 PM
40	PPP's (Public Private Partnerships) erode local control and do not capture long term costs/maintenance. The only ones that will truly protect our local infrastructure are LOCAL jurisdictions because they personally value our area and have committed long term interests at stake. Don't follow the PPP fad, its a rouse to pay workers less (the only way you can save money on infrastructure development is through wages and benefits) and to lessen local control. PPP's are NOT proven to benefit communities in the long run.	1/17/2018 11:48 AM
41	We should plan for a sea level rise and not waste money on lowland projects. If we are paying for anything the funding should come from the developers who made money off the lowlands and carbon tax on vehicles, tax on products shipped using fossil fuels tax goes up per mile shipped, etc. No more subsidizing non-sustainable behavior on my paycheck.	1/15/2018 9:14 PM
42	Do not allow new development in that area unless the buildings are built to handle the highest sea level imaginable	1/15/2018 12:31 PM
43	Is it planning long-term? Will it ever be safe?	1/14/2018 7:10 PM
44	I sent my survey before I made this comment: I feel almost all are of high importance. But if everything is "high importance" it's difficult to prioritize. Therefore, to improve the survey, there should be a way to distinguish between different levels of "high importance." For instance, offer a few more levels of importance, such as of "highest importance" and "extreme importance." Or, list 3 (or more) criteria and ask them to be prioritized. Just a couple thoughts. Thanks	1/12/2018 7:17 PM
45	Relocating and reconfiguring necessary assets now. A moratorium on building in flood zones.	1/12/2018 6:54 PM
46	Limiting future damage by avoiding new building in inundation zones	1/12/2018 2:00 PM
47	Focus new development up, up and away - away from the current downtown in areas slated for high density development in the comp plan. Establish a new town center in the Capital Mall footprint. Mall retail is tanking anyway. Form alliances with other coastal communities to implement best practices including seawall construction.	1/12/2018 11:37 AM
48	This is a rather useless survey. Of course ALL these issues are important. What's most important is not that a citizen puts a check by an 'importance' level of these issues. What's important to the citizens of Olympia is that the City is not acting as an ostrich with our heads in the sand when it comes to permitting major development downtown, IN KNOWN FLOOD ZONES. The city's willingness to give the green light to multiple projects putting more and more people, services, businesses and buildings at risk, knowingly....is inexcusable. The city will stand accountable for this when it happens. It is a major peril coming down the pike. (And NO the building designs on these projects, 'dealing' with the sea level rise, are obviously completely inadequate. I've been to the Design Review Board meetings hearing Ron Thomas (Walker John's architect) talk about it....it's like a bad joke. These buildings are all being rushed into town BEFORE the true Sea Level Response Plan is finished and put into effect. Shameful.	1/12/2018 11:12 AM
49	Abandon this ruse and save the taxpayers some money.	1/12/2018 8:21 AM
50	long term sustainability, cost, environmental impacts	1/11/2018 11:07 PM
51	How to notify buyers/renters that the home or business is on fill land and subject to flood (like they do in New Orleans, for instance).	1/11/2018 12:07 PM
52	Relocation of some facilities and assets should also be considered and measured with a benefit cost analysis with the other mitigation actions presented above. There also needs to be human adaptation strategies such as reducing travel demand in or through areas that flood temporarily.	1/11/2018 7:19 AM
53	This survey is very difficult for a lay person to understand: I am supposed to rate "sea level rise adaptation criteria"? You need to define your terms. What do you mean by "socio-economic criteria", for example? Or technical effectiveness criteria? Define and give examples or people will have no idea what you're asking them to compare and rate.	1/8/2018 8:50 PM
54	Do Not Build Sea Walls. That just makes the problem worse for someone else.	1/8/2018 1:47 PM
55	save downtown. Don't run for the hills. Responsible public policy should be rational adaptation	1/7/2018 4:05 PM
56	I don't feel like my answers were helpful. Everything listed is important.	1/7/2018 2:01 PM

Sea Level Rise Community Feedback Survey

57	public transportation as the city become denser there will be more cars. The current streets to go back and forth between the east and west side are not appropriate for larger populations. And just as a side note that maybe society should be moving a way from cars and are helping to contribute to climate change and sea level rise. Would eliminating the port and returning Capitol Lake help to mitigate the sea level rise?	1/6/2018 1:55 PM
58	Where can people be educated about this? Can meetings be arranged for everyone(not just governors, state officials, etc.)?	1/6/2018 8:40 AM
59	longevity of whatever actions are taken ie short term responses would be less useful	1/4/2018 4:09 PM
60	Perhaps we should begin shifting the city center to higher ground.	1/3/2018 8:10 PM
61	Costs - long and short term Oportunities - e.g. returning land to sea can protect against flooding - help restore water quality - drive sustainable Economics with recreation and tourism	1/3/2018 6:40 PM
62	Education and communication with other cities.	1/3/2018 2:16 PM
63	Let's make water streets through downtown!	1/3/2018 2:33 AM
64	The only way to deal with sea level rise is to get out of the way. Move downtown to higher ground. Restore the waterfront to the 1890 condition. Building walls and dams is an exercise in futility and a negligent use of taxpayer money.	1/2/2018 4:36 PM
65	Anything less than evacuating/razing/clearing/landscaping areas prone to flooding will be ineffective and over the long run, unaffordable. I did not see on your charts where sea level rise over time was projected unless it is wrapped up in the 100 year flood estimate. If it is, it is underestimated.	1/1/2018 10:12 AM
66	Because of expected high costs to address SLR, do not short-change the needed infrastructure to protect from SLR at the expense of spending \$ on environmental concerns such as shorelines and habitat. That is a different issue that should be funded seperatly.	12/28/2017 9:07 AM
67	Any action taken by City, County, or other governmental agencies should not impair economic development or business activity today (costing jobs) in search of some potential future solution to a potential future problem.	12/27/2017 1:23 PM
68	why you are wasting money on this useless study instead of trying to make Olympia a better city like it was before the functionally retarded students and professors at evergreen started injecting there brainless ideas into the public sphere	12/26/2017 10:42 PM
69	If it becomes cost effective to untie the stormwater drains from the sanitary sewer flows, this should be taken into planning consideration as it could provide critical benefits by protecting the treatment plant assets and preventing saltwater from mixing with sewage, protecting public health and other assets to some extent	12/26/2017 5:00 PM
70	Cost would exceed risk, scare off development. Revitalized structures and new construction would be better than the buildings that are already in place. I fear this will just be used as another barrier to entry in the form of permits, fees, and taxes that will continue to block the revitalization of downtown Olympia.	12/26/2017 9:53 AM
71	If I had a deeper understanding of what was possible and a few hours to really study it, I might have some suggestions.	12/26/2017 9:36 AM
72	Employs folks to do the job who live in the tax base or revenue source area	12/25/2017 7:35 AM
73	cost to residents not directly impacted.	12/22/2017 9:20 PM
74	Economic cost of protecting city	12/22/2017 9:16 PM
75	job displacement and financial assistance for families and school closures due to affected routes. Rerouting school bus routes and relocation of children into other schools temporarily. Which schools could house an increase in students? What areas of the school would the additional children be relocated to? IS there an alternate even available?	12/22/2017 11:30 AM
76	Cost vs. benefit for something that's not guaranteed to even occur to the extent being planned for.	12/22/2017 10:21 AM
77	"enhances" shoreline habitat and "enhances" recreational access are weird ways of stating those criteria. there are many solutions - sea walls - that will destroy habitat vs a smaller number of solutions that will enhance it - green infrastructure. So, I think a criteria should be whether it destroys habitat or prohibits public access	12/22/2017 9:42 AM

Sea Level Rise Community Feedback Survey

78	Yes! The total cost for this, levied on the shoulders of those actually paying the taxes and costs, when it may not even happen.	12/22/2017 9:39 AM
79	Flooding is not going to be a problem. Do not raise my taxes to fix a made-up problem.	12/22/2017 9:35 AM
80	How these measures will be funded will be important. If the funding is on the backs of developers it will halt all of the development and redevelopment that is occurring in downtown. All public infrastructure should be funded by public funds.	12/22/2017 8:35 AM
81	Economic development impact must be factored into planning. If the plan drives away business development due to prohibitive costs/regulation, neighboring communities will be happy to welcome this economic prosperity while downtown Olympia continues to deteriorate. City leaders need to involve and listen to the business community.	12/22/2017 6:07 AM
82	I don't see sea level rise as anything to be concerned with. I think addressing it is a waste of time and money.	12/21/2017 9:42 PM
83	Other options: Let the natural course of things determine results rather than trying to battle nature. Since any such rise, if it occurs, will take place over a long period of time, an evaluation of timing of any appropriate action needs to be constantly reviewed.	12/21/2017 6:20 PM
84	Increase attractiveness of downtown as a destination... It would be nice if you could click on these images to sell them full size - they aren't very useful at this scale...	12/21/2017 11:22 AM
85	Not sure what some of these "adaptation criteria" mean.	12/21/2017 10:04 AM
86	Use of existing assets, e.g. 5th ave. dam	12/20/2017 2:53 PM
87	The ability to upgrade and continue using the Capitol Lake dam system as an active flood control mechanism is central to our whole protection scheme. Focus on it!!	12/20/2017 2:17 PM
88	ALL jurisdiction should be involved. Flood gate should be involved. Olympia should not do something different than Shelton or Tacoma. A tide gate should be built at the Tacoma Narrows to protect all of South Sound. Construction could include elec. power generation that has previous been proposed for the Narrows. (should have been done when the second Narrows bridge was built.	12/20/2017 12:19 PM
89	Phasing of incremental strategies to establish flexibility and cost impacts---capital and operational vs negative impacts. Temporary storage in Capitol Lake a strong positive to monitor over time effectiveness of shoreside changes. Costs saved on retaining Capitol Lake existing flood/sea water protection more beneficial to direct that costs to downtown required improvements under long term potential 5th Ave Dam a "diamond in the rough to protect against SeaWater Rise (occasional impacts at very high tide vs more frequent impact in LOTT area of need.	12/20/2017 11:55 AM
90	Use the tidelock at 5th Avenue to reduce flooding at Capitol Lake seems advantageous.	12/20/2017 11:34 AM
91	The Capitol Lake tide lock needs to be retained as our best mechanism to prevent flooding in downtown and on the North Capitol Campus.	12/20/2017 9:28 AM
92	This is a really bad survey. We can do a lot better. This is all about balancing costs and benefits -- and about who pays.	12/18/2017 4:26 PM
93	I am going to choose not to answer most of these questions. This is because there is one option that is simply not included. This is the relocation of the main downtown over time. This survey was prepared with a mindset of already knowing what you intend to do, or more specifically, what you will not even consider. This is not a good way to approach public policy for such a critical issue.	12/15/2017 12:40 PM
94	I sincerely hope that you have read and understood the North Carolina Coastal Resources report on Sea Level Rise: https://deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/coastal-management/coastal-resources-commission/sea-level-rise-study-update Very balanced, subject to review every 5 years. Makes no wild assumptions on sea level rise. Thank you for your efforts.	12/15/2017 9:00 AM

Sea Level Rise Community Feedback Survey

95	Sustainability of the strategy. I'd rather see time and money spent moving people and infrastructure away from the inevitable rather than trying to stop nature. I mean, why not relocate now? Stop issuing building permits in the flood zone. Or begin charging impact fees in the zone that represent all of the time and money we will eventually be spending to bail people out who have build/remodeled near sea level. WHY does the city keep allowing people to build in this zone? You don't allow construction in wetland areas. Well, this will be more than a wetland area in the not too distant future. Maybe consider adding a cross-city route that does not go through the flood zone. Also, open Caton-Decatur corridor to ease westside congestion at Black Lake and Cooper Point. Why do city officials allow a small group of NIMBYs to dictate what is in the best interest of the majority of the city's population? What if everyone started blockading the street in front of their house? But back to the topic on hand; generally efforts should be for the benefit of the entire city population (our water supply, pollution, contamination) rather than for those in the impact zone (landowners, tenants, business owners, employees) who have taken the risk upon themselves. Fair warning, yes. Bail out later, no.	12/12/2017 9:06 PM
96	Amount of revenue generated by a local improvement district in the downtown area to pay at least 50% of the implementation costs. Other citizens should not have to bear this cost, since the principal benefit goes to downtown property owners.	12/12/2017 2:59 PM
97	what *kind of private collaboration will be considered relevant to needs of olympia residents.	12/11/2017 7:56 PM
98	Consider how increase in SL would increase earthquake hazards such as liquefaction during earthquakes? See: Palmer, Stephen P.; Walsh, Timothy J.; Gerstel, Wendy J., 1999, Geologic folio of the Olympia-Lacey-Tumwater urban area, Washington--Liquefaction susceptibility map: Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources Geologic Map GM-47, 1 sheet, scale 1:48,000, with 16 p. text. http://www.dnr.wa.gov/publications/ger_gm47_geol_folio_olympia_tumwater_lacey_48k.pdf	12/11/2017 12:57 PM
99	I think you have pretty much covered it, especially with inclusion of "highly reliable against multiple levels of sea level rise"...no way to be sure as to what we will face in the future.	12/11/2017 12:39 PM
100	Access to a natural shoreline. Removing and setting back buildings already too low and too close to the water.	12/11/2017 9:15 AM
101	Why give medium and low importance options? Seems like a misnomer of a survey.	12/10/2017 8:53 PM
102	Whether the solutions can also resolve problems like the housing crisis. Such as a water wall that is platformed housing. Can some buildings be expanded underground?	12/10/2017 12:08 PM
103	Yes, this is a poorly written, biased, meaningless survey.	12/10/2017 7:45 AM
104	Start planning now to move city assets out of future flood zones. Move instead of mitigation.	12/9/2017 10:30 PM
105	Yes -- cost/benefit ratio of solving for all (or the worst case) contingencies now compared to the cost of doing nothing until it's actually needed. Sometimes wait and watch is the best course of action. Also figure out what the critical control points are -- ie what actual events would trigger a given action or plan. Relying on guess work that something 'might' happen is not good enough.	12/9/2017 1:54 PM
106	Yes, costs and benefits of relocating downtown core to higher ground south of Legion Way.	12/9/2017 11:50 AM
107	Yes, pull your heads out of your A\$\$\$. You are listening to a bunch of crazies. If this does actually happen, build a couple of arks, as we are all screwed and nothing you do will change that!	12/8/2017 10:15 PM
108	Yes, professional criteria for doing surveys ethically: http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Best-Practices.aspx	12/8/2017 6:07 PM
109	Move the City to the east or west side. The only buiness's or assets that should be below the 25 ft elevation or those that can be flooded. Even teh LOTT plant must be moved. It already has reached the limit that it's foot print can expand. Move all of it!	12/8/2017 5:18 PM
110	Cost - what are the long term costs those should be weighted against the option for retreat. Retreat doesn't seem to be considered as a strategy and this is foolish.	12/8/2017 8:59 AM
111	Amount of revenue that can be derived from a Downtown Local Improvement District to pay for the dyke, seawall, pumps, and other investment. Consideration of building a small dyke and pumping system strictly to protect LOTT, with retreat of all other facilities if the LID is not approved by downtown property owners.	12/8/2017 8:28 AM
112	Size of area to be protected is a major part of the cost. Area north of state street should have only LOTT protected. Massive costs to protect the Port area are not warranted.	12/8/2017 6:52 AM

Sea Level Rise Community Feedback Survey

113	Engaging common sense in what is built today, knowing where the water is already flooding and will only increase. IE: Common sense would indicate that building any residential structure across the street from the water, is not a sustainable development, therefore, these sites are best for parks or parking lots or other non-residential uses.	12/8/2017 12:15 AM
114	Evaluate the costs of moving structures and restoring natural intertidal ecosystem. If you keep building in the lowlands, you'll keep getting flooded. Fix the problem rather than band-aid it.	12/7/2017 9:28 PM
115	Addressing the hyper commodification of housing	12/7/2017 7:08 PM
116	Tidal gauges	12/7/2017 5:51 PM
117	Consider what assets, businesses, and homes could be moved/relocated out of the ever-increasing danger zone. Any other "fixes" are temporary.	12/7/2017 5:41 PM
118	consider a 3" sea level rise as well as 6"	12/7/2017 6:38 AM
119	Protective infrastructure should withstand storm surge and seismic activity. Should be attractive or compliment community aesthetics. Water quality and habitat is important. Protective measures shouldn't degrade it any further. Seems like attempts to improve water quality should be secondary to saving the built environment.	12/6/2017 6:59 PM
120	I'm not sure if it was already address, but, what about temporary housing for displaced households?	12/6/2017 4:35 PM
121	Not sure? You are the experts! Thank you for planning ahead!	12/6/2017 11:57 AM
122	New construction, new businesses in the flood areas should shoulder cost and responsibility for methods chosen to address the problem.	12/6/2017 9:34 AM
123	Cost benefit ratio and "no regrets" solutions.	12/6/2017 7:33 AM
124	Residents and businesses that choose to be downtown should be taxed disproportionately for sea-level rise mitigation.	12/6/2017 6:30 AM
125	Taking out the Deschutes dam to let it be an estuary that is naturally designed to intake high water levels.	12/5/2017 8:39 AM
126	Put to rest once and for all the crazy notion that keeping Capitol Lake will magically alleviate downtown flooding and/or sea level rise.	12/5/2017 6:09 AM
127	The cost of ANY of these adaptations is going to be high. It is obvious that NO FURTHER DEVELOPMENT should be allowed in future flooding areas. I do NOT want any of my tax dollars be spent on protecting new developments in these areas; they should instead be used to help low lying businesses move to higher ground. I am disgusted that our City Council seems to be on track to allow the Mistake On The Lake to be turned into "penthouse" apartments and more businesses. A foolish, expensive Mistake indeed.	12/3/2017 9:13 PM
128	benefit/cost ratio	12/2/2017 10:42 AM